EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO CASE NO. 1:10-cv-00564-MRB

LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. Plaintiff

٧.

INK TECHNOLOGIES PRINTER SUPPLIES, LLC et al.
Defendants

STIPULATED PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CONSENT JUDGMENT, AND DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

This matter is before the Court on the stipulated motion of Plaimiff, Lexmark International. Inc. ("Lexmark") and John Doe Defendant QSP Technologies, LLC, having a place of business at 170 N. Katch Drive, Oconto, W1 54153 ("QSP"), for entry of Stipulated Permanent Injunction, Consent Judgment and Dismissal With Prejudice, having agreed to a compromise and settlement of this action.

IT IS HEREBY FOUND, ORDERED, ADJUDGED WITH CONSENT OF THE PARTIES that:

1 Lexmark is in the business of developing, manufacturing and selling laser printers and toner cartridges-including corresponding versions thereof made by Lexmark under private label for Dell, IBM, Toshiba, Nashuatec, Unisys, and Source Technologies, including toner cartridges for Lexmark's T420; T520/522; T610/612/614/616; T620/622: T630/632/634; T640/642/644; E120: E220. E230/232/234/238/240; E320/322; E321/323; E330/332; E340/342: and

E250/350/352/450 laser printers as well as the private-label versions thereof (collectively, "the Toner Cartridges").

- 2. Lexinark owns and his standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent. Nos. 5,337,032; 5,634,169; 5,758,231; 5,758,233; 5,768,661; 5,802,432; 5,875,378; 5,995,772; 6,009,291; 6,078,771; 6,397,015; 6,459,876; 6,487,383; 6,496,662; 6,678,489; 6,816,692; 6,871,031; 6,879,792; 7,139,510; 7,233,760; and 7,305,204 (the Lexinark Patents*).
- Lexmark has alleged that QSF, its parent, divisions, subsidiaries, affiliates, successors and assigns and its directors, officers, and employees, or any of them is in the business, among other things, of importing and/or selling remainifactured. Toner Cartridges in which rights in the Lexmark Patent rights were not exhausted, compatible versions of the Toner Cartridges, and/or clones of the Toner Cartridges (collectively, "the Accused Cartridges").
 - The Lexmark Patents are valid and enforceable against QSP.
- 5. The following table identifies the patent claims of the Lexmark Patents that are satisfied literally by the Toner Cartridges and the Accused Cartridges:

Patent	Toner Cartridges / Accused Cartridges								
	E120	F23X/E24X/ F33X/F34X	E25X/ E35X/E45X	T52X/T61X/ T62X/T63X/T64X	1.320/22	E220 and E321/23			
5,337,032									
5,634,169				1	32,36,42	32,36,42			
5,758,231		1-16	1-16			1416			
5.758,233				14					
5,768,661				1236					
5.802.432				1-3.7-9					
5,875,378				1-3,12-14,24					
5,995,772		**************************************	**************************************	[-3,5,7-9] 12,14-18,20,21	34,13,22, 32-34	14,15,22, 32-34			
6,009,291	1.2	1-2	1-2	1.2					

Patent	Toner Cartridges / Accused Cartridges								
	1/120	E23X/E24X/ E33X/E34X	F25X/ F35X/F45X	T52N/F61X/ T62N/T63X/T64X	E320/22	E 220 and E321/23			
6.078,771	1.5,6,10, 12,13,15	1.5,6,10 12,13,15	2 13 15 10 ass	121115					
6,397,015					9.17	1,2,4 9,17,19			
6,459,876				5.00 (1-28		A 1846 (1830)			
6.487.383	1076	12.6 10.15.19	1.2.6 10.15.19			3.00			
6,496,662		J.3.5.7	1,3,5,7						
6.678.489		3.6	5.42			1933 P. S. S. S. S.			
6,816,692	1-13	Lu	1-13						
6,871,031	23 (37.50.63)	1-6,8-12	146,8412						
6,879,792		I-II	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i						
7,139,510		I-IO	3/-10						
7,233.760	11,1214	11.12.14	11 12 14						
7,305,204		1-20	1-8.10-13						

- 6. The importation, use, remanufacture, manufacture, offer to sell or sale by anyone other than Lexmark of any Toner Cartridge that was originally sold outside the United States constitutes an infringement the above-identified claims in the Lexmark Patents.
- 7. The importation, use, remanufacture, manufacture, offer to sell or sale by anyone other than Lexmark of any Toner Cartridge in the United States under which Lexmark's Patent rights were not exhausted constitutes an infringement the above-identified claims in the Lexmark Patents.
- 8. Except for any cartridge in which Lexmark's Patent rights have been exhausted, this Court permanently enjoins QSP as well as those persons or companies in active concert or participation with QSP who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise from making, using, selling, offering for sale or importing

into the United States Accused Cartridges that infringe any of the above-identified patent claims or are not colorably different from the Accused Cartridges.

- 9. Nothing herein limits or shall be construed to limit in any way QSP's activities with respect to toner cartridges in which Lexmark's patent rights have been exhausted. Further, nothing herein limits or shall be construed to limit in any way QSP's activities with respect to any Lexmark Patents that have expired, lapsed, are no longer enforceable, or have found to be invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- QSP consents to personal jurisdiction by this Court, consents to venue in this District, and waives services of process for this action.
- 11. QSP agrees to pay Lexmark a monthly, settlement amount on the terms to which the parties confidentially agreed. If QSP defaults on any of its monthly settlement payments, the remaining principal sum then unpaid shall become immediately due and payable and Lexmark may seek recourse from this Court.
- 12. This Court retains jurisdiction over Lexmark and QSP to the extent necessary to enforce the terms of this Stipulated Permanent Injunction, Consent Judgment, and Dismissal With Projudice and the agreement between the parties.
- 13. This Stipulated Permanent Injunction, Consent Judgment, and Dismissal With Prejudice shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of Lexmark and QSP as well as each of its respective subsidiaries, corporate parents, affiliates, and/or successors and assigns.
- 14. All claims between Lexmark and QSP are hereby dismissed with prejudice, with each party to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

Dated: 2/34/12 Signed 9/12/12

By: s/ Michael R. Barrett
United States District Court Judge

HAVING BEEN SEEN AND AGREED TO ON AUGUST 2012

By:

P. Douglas Barr (Ohio Bar No. 20868) Steven B. Loy Anthony J. Phelps STOLL KEENON OGDEN PLLC 300 West Vine Street, Suite 2100 Lexington, KY 40507

Telephone: (859) 231-3000 Facsimile: (859) 253-1093

William J. Hunter, Jr.
STOLL KEENON-OGDEN PLLC
2000 PNC Plaza
500 West Jefferson Street
Louisville, KY 40202
Telephone; (502) 333-6000
Facsimile; (502) 333-6099

Timothy C. Meece
V. Bryan Medlock
Matthew P. Becker
Jason S. Shull
BANNER & WITCOFF LTD.
10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 3000
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone: (312) 463-5000

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Lexmark International, Inc. Jeff Vogelsang OSP Technologies IAC 170 N. Katch Drive. Oconto, WI 54153